

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/048,119	06/10/2002	Reiner Gieck	449122022600	1678
25227 MORRISON &	7590 06/11/2007 & FOERSTER LLP		EXAMINER	
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD			AGHDAM, FRESHTEH N	
SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2611	
		•		- 315
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			06/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		•	d
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/048,119	GIECK, REINER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Freshteh N. Aghdam	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication		th the correspondence address	••
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3 3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und 	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matte	• •	s is
·	ioi zx parto quajto, 1000 0.5	. 11, 100 0.0. 210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 5-9 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction allowed.	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to lot the drawing(s) be held in abeyan brrection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	B) Paper No(s	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/21/2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "selecting the transmission method having the transmission speed in which the measured and stored line parameters are most compatible". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Therefore, examiner made the broadest reasonable interpretation of claim 1 for examining purposes.

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Goodson et al (US 5,715,277).

As to claim 1, Goodson discloses measuring line parameters of a line using at least one transmission method (Fig. 8, means 800; Col. 11, lines 6-17) selecting a transmission method having a transmission speed (e.g. rate/carrier frequency) in which the measured line parameters (e.g. SDR; Fig. 8, means 820, 830, 825, and 827) are most compatible with a different plurality of stored line parameters for which at least one transmission method with at least one transmission speed that represents a maximum data throughput rate is determined and stored in memory (Fig. 8, means 829 and 840; Col. 9, lines 30-67, Col. 11, lines 36-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/048,119

Art Unit: 2611

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodson et al, and further in view of BROTHERS (US 2002/0016794).

As to claim 2, Goodson discloses that the line parameters are represented by the attenuation of the line (Fig. 8, means 825). Goodson is not explicit about the line parameters are represented by the running time of the line and by interference signals on the line. BROTHERS discloses a communication method/ apparatus, wherein the line parameters are represented by the attenuation, interference, and running time of the line (Par. 19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of BROTHERS with Goodson in order to more efficiently transmitting a signal by determining the line parameters responsive to the line attenuation, running time of the line and by interference signals on the line (Par. 19).

As to claim 4, Goodson discloses that the maximum data rate for different line parameters is determined with different transmission methods and transmission speeds, by selecting the transmission methods in the frequency range of which the line parameters demonstrate the least variations (Fig. 8, means 829 and 840; Col. 9, lines 30-67, Col. 11, lines 36-67). Goodson is not explicit about the line parameters are represented by the attenuation and running time of the line and by interference signals on the line. BROTHERS teaches that the line parameters are represented by the attenuation, interference, and running time of the line (Par. 19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of BROTHERS with Goodson in order to more efficiently transmitting a signal by determining the line

Application/Control Number: 10/048,119

Art Unit: 2611

parameters responsive to the line attenuation, running time of the line and by interference signals on the line (Par. 19).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodson et al and BROTHERS, further in view of Zirwas (US 6,798,855).

As to claim 3, Goodson and BROTHERS teach all the subject matter claimed in claim 2, except for the running time being determined by a measurement of the phase difference between two signals with different frequencies. Zirwas teaches that the running time is determined by a measurement of the phase difference between two signals (Col. 7, Lines 30-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Zirwas with Goodson and BROTHERS in order to enhance signal transmissions by determining the running time from measuring the phase difference between two signals with different frequencies.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

McGhee (US 6,389,065) see summary of the invention.

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Freshteh N. Aghdam whose telephone number is 571-272-6037. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 6, 2007

Freshteh Aghdam Examiner Art Unit 2611